



# USA Mathematical Talent Search

Solutions to Problem 2/2/16

www.usamts.org

**2/2/16.** Call a number  $a - b\sqrt{2}$  with  $a$  and  $b$  both positive integers *tiny* if it is closer to zero than any number  $c - d\sqrt{2}$  such that  $c$  and  $d$  are positive integers with  $c < a$  and  $d < b$ . Three numbers which are tiny are  $1 - \sqrt{2}$ ,  $3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ , and  $7 - 5\sqrt{2}$ . Without using a calculator or computer, prove whether or not each of the following is tiny:

$$(a) 58 - 41\sqrt{2}, \quad (b) 99 - 70\sqrt{2}.$$

**Credit** We are indebted to Dr. David Grabiner of the NSA for this problem. David is a former multiple winner of the USAMO, whose continued support of the USAMTS is most appreciated.

**Comments** Solution 1 shows the most straightforward solution. Solution 2 uses the shape of the graph of  $y = \sqrt{x}$ . Solution 3 uses the continued fraction representation of  $\sqrt{2}$ . Other solutions are possible, including listing (by hand!) all of the smallest numbers of the form  $|a - b\sqrt{2}|$  for each positive integer  $a$  up through 100.

**Solution 1 by: Tony Liu (10/IL)**

(a) We claim that  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$  is not tiny. Indeed, from  $1 < \sqrt{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |58 - 41\sqrt{2}| &> \frac{|58 - 41\sqrt{2}|}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= |29\sqrt{2} - 41| \\ &= |41 - 29\sqrt{2}| \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $41 - 29\sqrt{2}$  is closer to zero than  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$ . Since  $41 < 58$ , and  $29 < 41$ , we conclude that  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$  is not tiny.

(b) We claim that  $99 - 70\sqrt{2}$  is tiny. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists a number  $c - d\sqrt{2}$  closer to zero, with  $c < 99$ , and  $d < 70$ . Since  $99^2 - 2 \cdot 70^2 = 9801 - 9800 = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |(99 - 70\sqrt{2})(99 + 70\sqrt{2})| \\ &> |(c - d\sqrt{2})(99 + 70\sqrt{2})| \\ &> |(c - d\sqrt{2})(c + d\sqrt{2})| \\ &= |c^2 - 2d^2| \end{aligned}$$



## USA Mathematical Talent Search

Solutions to Problem 2/2/16

www.usamts.org

---

Because  $c$  and  $d$  are positive integers, this implies that  $c^2 - 2d^2 = 0$ , or  $c = d\sqrt{2}$ , which is impossible. It follows that  $99 - 70\sqrt{2}$  is indeed tiny.

### Solution 2 by: Johnny Hu (10/AL)

The three examples for *tiny* numbers are all in the form,  $\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$  or  $\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x+1}$ , where  $x$  is an integer. Since the graph for  $\sqrt{x}$  is half a parabola that opens to the positive side that rises more and more slowly as  $x$  increases, the difference between  $\sqrt{x+1}$  and  $\sqrt{x}$  becomes smaller and smaller as  $x$  increases. Since  $x+1$  and  $x$  are consecutive integers and the difference between  $\sqrt{x+1}$  and  $\sqrt{x}$  becomes smaller as  $x$  increases, numbers in the form of  $\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$  and  $\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{x+1}$  must be *tiny* because all values smaller than  $x$  will not produce a number closer to zero.

Since  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$  can be written as  $\sqrt{3364} - \sqrt{3362}$ , it is in the form of  $\sqrt{x+2} - \sqrt{x}$ . The graph of  $\sqrt{x+2} - \sqrt{x}$  is above the graph of  $\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$  so  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$  is not a tiny number as there exists a number in the form of  $c - d\sqrt{2}$ , where  $c < 58$  and  $d < 41$ , which is closer to zero.

To verify this, we must find a number in the form of  $\sqrt{y+1} - \sqrt{y}$  or  $\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{y+1}$ , since these will most likely to be smaller than  $\sqrt{x+2} - \sqrt{x}$  (This will be proven later in the page).

$$a - b\sqrt{2} = 58 - 41\sqrt{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 58 - 41\sqrt{2} &= a - b\sqrt{2} \\ &= \sqrt{a^2} - \sqrt{2b^2} \end{aligned}$$

Also:

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 &= 2b^2 + 2 \\ &= 2(b^2 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

To make this equation into the form of  $\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{y+1}$ :

Let:

$$(d)(\sqrt{2}) = a$$

Then:

$$2d^2 = 2(b^2 + 1)$$

$$d^2 = b^2 + 1$$

$$b^2 = y$$

$$d^2 = y + 1$$



# USA Mathematical Talent Search

Solutions to Problem 2/2/16

www.usamts.org

Therefore,  $\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{y+1} = \sqrt{b^2} - \sqrt{d^2}$

Substituting our original value, we have  $\sqrt{1681} - \sqrt{1682} = 41 - 29\sqrt{2}$ .

To verify that  $41 - 29\sqrt{2}$  is closer to zero than  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$ :

$$|41 - 29\sqrt{2}| < |58 - 41\sqrt{2}|$$

$$|41 - 29\sqrt{2}|^2 < |58 - 41\sqrt{2}|^2$$

$$3363 - 2378\sqrt{2} < 6726 - 4756\sqrt{2}$$

Since  $2(3363 - 2378\sqrt{2}) = 6726 - 4756\sqrt{2}$ ,  $|41 - 29\sqrt{2}| < |58 - 41\sqrt{2}|$  and  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$  is not a *tiny* number.

Since  $99 - 70\sqrt{2}$  can be written as  $\sqrt{9801} - \sqrt{9800}$ , it is in the form of  $\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x}$ . Numbers in this form are always *tiny* numbers, so  $99 - 70\sqrt{2}$  is a *tiny* number.

**Solution 3 by: Zachary Abel (11/TX)**

This problem follows from a (well known?) theorem concerning the approximation ability of continued fractions.

**Theorem.** For a given irrational number  $\alpha$ , the number  $p - q\alpha$  is tiny if and only if  $p/q$  is a convergent of  $\alpha$ .

The proof is in two parts.

**Lemma 1.** If  $p_n/q_n$  is a convergent for the irrational number  $\alpha$  and  $p/q \neq p_n/q_n$  is an arbitrary fraction with  $0 < q < q_{n+1}$ , then

$$|p_n - q_n\alpha| < |p - q\alpha|.$$

*Proof.* The key to this proof is to try to write

$$(p_n - q_n\alpha)x + (p_{n+1} - q_{n+1}\alpha)y = p - q\alpha$$

by solving the system

$$\begin{cases} q_n x + q_{n+1} y = q \\ p_n x + p_{n+1} y = p \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for  $x$  and  $y$ . Using the fact that  $p_{n+1}q_n - p_nq_{n+1} = (-1)^n$ , we find from the above system that

$$x = (-1)^n (qp_{n+1} - pq_{n+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad y = (-1)^n (pq_n - qp_n)$$

This tells us a lot! First of all, both  $x$  and  $y$  are integers. Next, neither  $x$  nor  $y$  is 0. Indeed, if  $x = 0$  then  $p/q = p_{n+1}/q_{n+1}$ , which is impossible for  $q < q_{n+1}$  since  $\gcd(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) = 1$ , and if  $y = 0$  then  $p/q = p_n/q_n$ , which was assumed to be false.



# USA Mathematical Talent Search

## Solutions to Problem 2/2/16

www.usamts.org

We can obtain even more information from the system in (1):  $x$  and  $y$  must have opposite sign. If both were positive, then  $q = q_n x + q_{n+1} y > q_{n+1}$ , and if both were negative, then  $q$  would be negative.

Now we're ready for the final step. Since  $\alpha$  lies between  $p_n/q_n$  and  $p_{n+1}/q_{n+1}$ , the numbers  $p_n - q_n \alpha$  and  $p_{n+1} - q_{n+1} \alpha$  have opposite signs. Since  $x$  and  $y$  also have opposite signs, the two numbers  $(p_n - q_n \alpha)x$  and  $(p_{n+1} - q_{n+1} \alpha)y$  have the same sign. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}(p_n - q_n \alpha)x + (p_{n+1} - q_{n+1} \alpha)y &= p - q\alpha \\ |(p_n - q_n \alpha)x + (p_{n+1} - q_{n+1} \alpha)y| &= |p - q\alpha| \\ |(p_n - q_n \alpha)x| + |(p_{n+1} - q_{n+1} \alpha)y| &= |p - q\alpha| \\ |p_n - q_n \alpha| \cdot |x| &< |p - q\alpha| \\ |p_n - q_n \alpha| &< |p - q\alpha|\end{aligned}$$

□

Notice that this lemma shows that the number  $p_n - q_n \alpha$  is *tiny*. This next lemma shows that there are no other tiny numbers.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $p/q$  is not a convergent of  $\alpha$ , then  $p - q\alpha$  is not tiny.*

*Proof.* Since  $p/q$  isn't a convergent to  $\alpha$ , we can find two successive convergents  $p_n/q_n$  and  $p_{n+1}/q_{n+1}$  with  $q_n < q < q_{n+1}$ . Then by the first lemma,  $|p_n - q_n \alpha| < |p - q\alpha|$ , and so  $p - q\alpha$  is not *tiny*. □

These two lemmas show that  $p_n - q_n \alpha$  is tiny for each  $n$  and that there are no other tiny numbers. So the main theorem has been proven.

Because of this theorem with  $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ , the tiny numbers can be found by calculating the convergents of  $\sqrt{2}$ . The continued fraction representation of  $\sqrt{2}$  is

$$\sqrt{2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \dots}}}$$

Using the recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned}p_n &= a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} \\ q_n &= a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}\end{aligned}$$



## USA Mathematical Talent Search

Solutions to Problem 2/2/16

[www.usamts.org](http://www.usamts.org)

---

and the fact that  $a_n = 2$  for  $n \geq 1$ , we can easily calculate the convergents. We get

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{p_0}{q_0} = \frac{1}{1} & \frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{3}{2} & \frac{p_2}{q_2} = \frac{7}{5} & \frac{p_3}{q_3} = \frac{17}{12} \\ \frac{p_4}{q_4} = \frac{41}{29} & \frac{p_5}{q_5} = \frac{99}{70} & \frac{p_6}{q_6} = \frac{239}{169} & \frac{p_7}{q_7} = \frac{577}{408} \end{array}$$

Since  $99/70$  is one of the convergents,  $99 - 70\sqrt{2}$  is a tiny number, whereas  $58/41$  is not a convergent and so  $58 - 41\sqrt{2}$  is not tiny.